

TOWNSHIP OF CHATHAM  
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

-----  
IN THE MATTER OF: :  
 : TRANSCRIPT  
CASE NO. BOA 16-105-5 : OF  
4 T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC, : PROCEEDINGS  
SPRING STREET, BLOCK 105, : (Volume II)  
5 LOT: 5 :  
----- :

6  
7 Thursday, March 17, 2016  
Municipal Building  
8 54 Fairmount Avenue  
Chatham, New Jersey 07928  
9 Commencing at 8:10 p.m.

10 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

11 TONY VIVONA, Chairman  
12 JON WESTON, Vice Chairman  
13 GREGORY BORSINGER  
WILLIAM STYPLE  
14 TINA ROMANO  
RICK WILLIAMS  
JOHN HURRING, JR., Alternate 1

15 ALSO PRESENT:

16 KALI TSIMBOUKIS, Secretary  
17 MEG SMITH, Secretary  
ROBERT A. MICHAELS, Planner  
18 JOHN K. RUSCHKE, P.E.

19  
20 ALISON GULINO, CCR, RPR  
21 CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER

22  
23 **QUICK COURT REPORTING, LLC**  
24 **47 BRIAN ROAD**  
**WEST CALDWELL, NEW JERSEY 07006**  
**(973) 618-0872**  
25 **office@quickreporters.com**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

STEPHEN H. SHAW, ESQ.  
Counsel for the Board

PRICE, MEESE, SCHULMAN & D'ARMINIO, P.C.  
50 Tice Boulevard, Suite 380  
Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey 07677  
BY: GREGORY D. MEESE, ESQ.  
Counsel for the Applicant

I N D E X

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

<u>APPLICANT'S WITNESS:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
FRANK PAZDEN	4
DAVID KARLEBACH	11

EXHIBITS MARKED INTO EVIDENCE

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	(None marked)	

1                   CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Last on our agenda  
2 is 16-105-5, T-Mobile Northeast, LLC; PSE&G tower,  
3 Spring Street.

4                   MR. MEESE: Good evening, Mr.  
5 Chairman, members of the Board. Greg Meese,  
6 attorney for the applicant, T-Mobile, Northeast,  
7 LLC.

8                   As the Board may recall from last  
9 week, there was an issue that was left with respect  
10 to the design of the compound. In that, there was  
11 originally proposed a fence. Outside of the fence  
12 line, there was three feet of gravel and a curb.  
13 Mr. Pazden, the engineer, testified that that was  
14 necessary for the grounding of the site. The Board  
15 had requested Mr. Pazden to see if that could be  
16 revised so that the grass could be brought directly  
17 up to the fence line. Mr. Pazden is here this  
18 evening to continue his testimony and to happily  
19 report that, yes, the grounding can be revised to  
20 allow the grass to come up to the fence line.

21                   CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Excellent.

22                   MR. PAZDEN: This is the same that  
23 shows the original submittal of the compound plan.  
24 As it was just described, we had the gravel  
25 perimeter, we went back to the company, E&S

1 Grounding Solutions, to change the design as had  
2 been requested. What we can do, as was mentioned,  
3 remove the full gravel outside of the compound so  
4 that the wood curb would be directly against the  
5 fence. There would be gravel inside the compound.

6 The changes that would be occurring  
7 would be underground. What I had talked about last  
8 week was, within this gravel surface, there is a  
9 ground ring buried there and they had the gravel  
10 layer as a part of the system. In addition, that  
11 ground ring will remain buried below and an  
12 additional ground ring will encompass the full  
13 compound and tower area and be buried further down.  
14 In doing so, that will allow the design of the  
15 grounding system to be safe and along with that,  
16 along with the utility routing that is underground  
17 to the utility pole, there will be a line buried  
18 below the trench to pick up that whole extra length  
19 of the grounding to dissipate the system there as  
20 well. So this way, we can complete what the Board  
21 had requested by getting rid of that gravel.

22 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Excellent.

23 MS. ROMANO: So it stays the same size  
24 as it was previously?

25 MR. PAZDEN: Yes. It's currently 10

1 feet by 35 feet and we had talked about reducing it  
2 to 31 feet to match what we are doing and we can do  
3 that.

4 MS. ROMANO: It's still the 7-foot  
5 fence?

6 MR. PAZDEN: Yes. Composite, just  
7 like we talked about before.

8 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: I think that is a  
9 good effort. A lot of times, people don't even want  
10 to ask but it makes that area not look so  
11 industrial. It still hides everything and it -- I  
12 think it will be much less intrusive of a structure  
13 without having the gravel and the railroad ties and  
14 all the other stuff. So with the grass, we don't  
15 need any other type of landscaping. There's ample  
16 room for a collocater and they can -- now that we  
17 know can alter some of PSE&G's rules, it will help  
18 the collocater as well.

19 MR. PAZDEN: They would be able to tie  
20 into the system as well. They would loop around  
21 further. It wasn't so much not trying. We didn't  
22 understand that that was an issue coming in. So on  
23 future sites, we could try to address that up front  
24 knowing that the gravel outside the fence is an  
25 issue.

1                   CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Right. The one up  
2 on Sunset didn't matter because there's no homes  
3 near it but this is in a very highly trafficked area  
4 and believe me, with the swimming pool across the  
5 street and plenty of stones and windshields, that's  
6 not a good idea. So you are not bringing in more  
7 ammo.

8                   MR. PAZDEN: Right.

9                   CHAIRMAN VIVONA: What did you find  
10 out about the cable colors?

11                  MR. PAZDEN: The manufacturer does  
12 have a light gray cable color they will be able to  
13 use.

14                  CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Very good.

15                  MR. MEESE: 2 for 2.

16                  CHAIRMAN VIVONA: That would be  
17 installed on Sunset as well?

18                  MR. PAZDEN: Yes.

19                  CHAIRMAN VIVONA: For future  
20 reference, it's a beautiful thing. Those were our  
21 only issues that I recall.

22                         Correct?

23                  MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Uh-huh.

24                  CHAIRMAN VIVONA: The antennas would  
25 be off-white so they are not highly noticeable.

1 There is room for a collocator and there's 18 or  
2 less wires?

3 MR. PAZDEN: Correct.

4 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: We eliminated a  
5 variance by skewing the location?

6 MR. PAZDEN: Yes.

7 MR. BORSINGER: The color of the  
8 fence, did we settle on that?

9 MR. SHAW: I think a light brown was  
10 shown on the photo simulations. So that's what we  
11 selected on the other one so we will stay the same.

12 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: No lights; no  
13 generators?

14 MR. PAZDEN: Correct.

15 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay. Well, you  
16 addressed everything that we wanted and I'm really  
17 happy about the colored wires and the grass.

18 Anybody else have anything else they  
19 would like to add?

20 (No response)

21 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Did you contact  
22 somebody about the Verizon box?

23 MR. PAZDEN: They are looking into  
24 that.

25 MR. STYPLE: There is a lot of rubbish

1 up there, not just the Verizon box, but trash and  
2 chairs and who is responsible for cleaning that up  
3 or will it be left there?

4 MR. SHAW: The owner of the property  
5 is PSE&G.

6 MR. STYPLE: And if Verizon or AT&T --

7 MR. SHAW: They will point their  
8 finger at someone else.

9 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Is there a way we  
10 can look into that? Because -- it wasn't a dump but  
11 there was a lot of trash up there.

12 MR. SHAW: I'm not sure if it's the  
13 zoning officer but there's someone in the township.

14 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: That would be the  
15 zoning officer.

16 MR. MEESE: We will contact PSE&G and  
17 let them know there's an issue and to look into it.  
18 So if we let them know and the zoning officer, maybe  
19 someone will be out there quicker.

20 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: I know they have to  
21 maintain the trees and stuff so their crews are the  
22 only people going in there because it's illegal for  
23 children to sled down there. So I know there's no  
24 kids doing that.

25 Okay. Any other questions from the

1 Board?

2 (No response)

3 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: John, are you good?

4 MR. RUSCHKE: I'm not sure if we can  
5 incorporate this but we don't want them staging a  
6 crane or putting heavy equipment on the municipal  
7 parking lot and damaging that as part of the  
8 construction. They should be trying to stage the  
9 equipment and staying off that new pavement.

10 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay.

11 MR. PAZDEN: We wouldn't have a  
12 problem with that. We can make a note to that  
13 effect on the construction drawings.

14 MR. BORSINGER: If we approve it, it's  
15 a 31-foot versus a 35-foot?

16 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Correct. 31 by 10,  
17 grass all the way up to the barrier, buried lines.  
18 The cable bridge has to stay; that is PSE&G's rule.  
19 And gray-colored wires so they blend in with the  
20 pole better.

21 Good.

22 MR. MICHAELS: I have one question.  
23 Now that the grass can be planted up to the fence,  
24 is there any opportunity for small shrubs or  
25 landscaping in that location?

1 MR. PAZDEN: We did try. I went to  
2 PSE&G with that too and asked them if we could get  
3 the boxwood planted and they turned us down. We  
4 don't want to be planting within the right of way.  
5 They told me that they have existing sites that do  
6 have some landscaping that they tried to maintain  
7 but it was grandfathered to what they are going  
8 forward with but our request to them was turned down  
9 and we explained what we are trying to do here.

10 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay. I'm happy  
11 about the grass, though, and shrinking the compound.  
12 That was my main concern. If we can't plant, we  
13 can't plant but it's fine.

14 If no one else has anything, anybody  
15 from the public have anything to ask or add?

16 (No response)

17 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay. At this  
18 point, we are going to make a motion for this  
19 application.

20 MR. MEESE: Do you want to hear from  
21 our planner or not?

22 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Sure. If he's here.  
23 D A V I D K A R L E B A C H, first having been  
24 duly sworn, testified as follows:

25 MR. KARLEBACH: David Karlebach, I'm a

1 licensed professional planner and I have been for  
2 over 20 years. I previously qualified and I have  
3 testified before this Board on past occasions.

4 MR. MEESE: I would offer Mr.  
5 Karlebach as an expert in the field of planning.

6 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay.

7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MEESE:

8 Q. You are familiar with the application  
9 that has been submitted?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And the ordinances and the Master Plan?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And in this application, T-Mobile is  
14 seeking to return to a PSE&G right of way where this  
15 Board had granted approval for this site previously?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. There's a need for a height variance  
18 because the height of the antennas exceed the height  
19 allowed in the zone although they do not exceed the  
20 height of the existing PSE&G tower?

21 A. Correct and they do not exceed the  
22 height of what would be permitted. If this was a  
23 conditionally permitted use, the ordinance permits  
24 heights up to 150 feet but because it's a  
25 residential zone, you are bound by the 35-foot

1 requirement.

2 Q. And we are also seeking a variance for  
3 the width of the antenna to allow it to be 13 inches  
4 rather than the 12 inches?

5 A. Yes. I believe it's the applicant's  
6 position that, even though the Board is preempted in  
7 that regard by federal law, that we are nonetheless  
8 seeking a variance for 1 inch; a 13-inch wide  
9 antenna is proposed where 12 inches is permitted.

10 Q. And lastly, the applicant is seeking  
11 relief for the 7-foot fence although it was  
12 previously granted approval for an 8-foot fence back  
13 in 1999?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. You received a copy of the transcript  
16 of the testimony of Mr. Pazden as well as Mr. Menio?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. With respect to this application, do  
19 you believe the Board can grant the relief  
20 requested?

21 A. Certainly. I think the Board's  
22 planner called this height variance as being a D6  
23 variance so I'm going to present it as such and with  
24 D variance applications pertaining to wireless  
25 communication uses, Board of Adjustments are

1 instructed to use a four-step balancing test so I'll  
2 briefly go through those steps with the Board.

3           The first step is to determine the  
4 public interest at stake and the New Jersey Supreme  
5 Court tells us that the mere issuance of an FCC  
6 license should suffice for a carrier to establish  
7 that the general purpose is served and T-Mobile is  
8 the holder of such a license. So that satisfies the  
9 first step of the balancing test.

10           The site is particularly well-suited  
11 for the use. The Board has made that determination  
12 back in 1999 when it first approved the application  
13 of T- Mobile. There's been no fundamental change in  
14 the neighborhood since that time so I think the  
15 Board would be reasonable in making that same  
16 assessment today, that the site does remain  
17 particularly suited for the use and the site uses an  
18 existing structure, which is preferred by the  
19 ordinance, and it reduces the number of towers in  
20 the community by one. That rarely occurs but that's  
21 happening tonight if this application is approved.

22           And the second step is to identify the  
23 detrimental effects and the courts have found that  
24 the negative criteria pertaining to wireless  
25 communications devices generally implicates

1 aesthetics. In that regard, there is no increase in  
2 the height of the structure. The antennas occur  
3 below the ultimate height of the tower, which is 131  
4 feet. The equipment is completely concealed from  
5 public view by a 7-foot- high wood stockade fence.  
6 The antennas and the equipment are not going to  
7 change the visual quality of the site and that wood  
8 stockade fence is not out of character with the  
9 residential environment. So in terms of the  
10 variances, I think the planning benefit to be  
11 achieved, which is continuing to provide reliable  
12 service to this area, exceeds any detriment that's  
13 associated with these variances that are being  
14 sought tonight.

15 This application for this proposed  
16 facility is not going to generate any noise,  
17 vibration, odors, glare, dust, fumes or any other  
18 objectionable influences. It's an unmanned  
19 facility. There's no increase in population or  
20 employment at the site. It requires very little in  
21 the way of municipal service, only electric service  
22 and telephone service similar to a single-family  
23 home. I believe the applicant has presented this  
24 Board with the least intrusive method of providing  
25 service into the area.

1                   Regarding the height variance, it's  
2 well settled that when height is necessary to  
3 effectuate a use, that, in and of itself, becomes a  
4 special reason warranting height variance relief.  
5 In this particular case, the height of the antennas  
6 at this particular geographic location is necessary  
7 to replicate the service that exists today by the  
8 temporary facility. T-Mobile cannot fulfill its  
9 federal mandate of providing seamless reliable  
10 coverage to the area if it's restricted to 35 feet  
11 in elevation. The additional height required does  
12 not interfere with the penetration of light or air  
13 onto adjoining properties or disrupt any important  
14 views, and in the case of Grosso versus Spring Lake  
15 Heights, the Court opined, as is the case with D3  
16 and D4 variances, plaintiffs can prove special  
17 reasons for the height variance if they can persuade  
18 the Board that a taller structure would be  
19 consistent with the surrounding neighborhood.

20                   That is occurring here. You have all  
21 of those PSE&G towers running through this area and  
22 in the northeast and southeast direction so  
23 residents and passersby are already acclimated to  
24 the presence of tall structures in this area and the  
25 attachment of six antennas onto this one tower is

1 not going to change their perception of the site.  
2 It's a modest modification. It will not change the  
3 character of the neighborhood.

4           The third step of the test is the  
5 Board may impose reasonable conditions to mitigate  
6 any impacts and I think we already discussed a  
7 number of mitigating measures. Forgive me if I  
8 forget to include all of them. We eliminated the  
9 shelter and reducing the size of the equipment area  
10 to 10 feet by 31 feet, decreasing the area of the  
11 stone gravel blanket so it does not extend beyond  
12 the edge of the compound and changing the type of  
13 stone to soften the appearance and removing the wood  
14 around the area and providing light gray cables and  
15 we discussed the prospect of landscaping and we  
16 found out that is not possible at this site but I'll  
17 suggest to this Board, as a graduate landscape  
18 architect, I don't believe any landscaping is  
19 necessary. I think it's superfluous. There's no  
20 shrubbery along the outside of the community pool,  
21 the fence that surrounds the pool. There's no  
22 shrubbery around the tennis courts or within the  
23 parking area. So if you put shrubbery, you are  
24 featuring the compound and attracting attention to  
25 it. So I think it's a wise decision to leave it the

1 way it is and that would integrate it with the  
2 surroundings.

3 Finally, the Board is to determine, on  
4 balance, whether the positive attributes of the  
5 application outweigh the negative.

6 On the positive side, you have public  
7 access to T-Mobile's high-speed voice and data  
8 network, enhanced public safety through improved  
9 communications and increased work productivity and  
10 efficiency.

11 On the negative side of the ledger, I  
12 find there are no detriments so I suggest to the  
13 Board that the public benefits that are derived far  
14 outweigh any perceived detriment and there's no  
15 substantial detriment to the zone plan. The  
16 applicant only seeks to replace existing coverage  
17 provided by the temporary facility. It reduces the  
18 number of towers in the area. It doesn't increase  
19 the height of that structure and the C deviations  
20 are very minor in nature. The fence exceeds the  
21 requirement by 1 foot. The antenna width exceeds  
22 the requirement by 1 inch and this proposed quasi-  
23 public utility use is very much consistent and  
24 compatible with the public utility use that exists  
25 on the property.

1           I have had the occasion to review the  
2   2006 and 2011 Master Plan reexamination reports. I  
3   find that this proposal does not conflict with any  
4   of the recommendations and the Board should feel  
5   comfortable in granting the D variance and the  
6   accompanying C variances.

7           CHAIRMAN VIVONA: I want to clarify  
8   one thing about the landscaping. I believe we asked  
9   for it because, at the time, we didn't think we  
10   could eliminate the gravel. We thought, by planting  
11   stuff between the grounding rings and the grid, it  
12   would soften it but we preferably would have the  
13   grass going all the way up to it and our DPW  
14   maintains that area so it would be cleaned.

15           The only other thing I would like to  
16   mention, Mr. Meese, I don't know who would be in  
17   charge of it but the temporary tower, which was  
18   granted variances, along with the variances, were  
19   conditions but that whole area is basically a  
20   shambles. The fence is falling over; there's trash.

21           MR. MEESE: That will be totally  
22   removed by June 30th. That's the deadline DEP put  
23   on us.

24           CHAIRMAN VIVONA: I want to make sure  
25   that what happened there does not happen at our new

1 spot. It's a very public area. There's kids all  
2 over the place. You have the swimming pool and the  
3 high school parking lot. So I would assume that --  
4 I know our DPW would mow the grass and because it's  
5 an unmanned station, I don't imagine there's going  
6 to be any trash.

7 MR. MEESE: It should be visited once  
8 every four to six weeks by a cell tech and part of  
9 his job is to see if any damage is done and to see  
10 it gets repaired.

11 MR. SHAW: We recognize you are  
12 hopefully going to be out of there by the end of  
13 June. The fence is knocked down. There is stuff  
14 around. Your client, could they have the site  
15 cleaned up?

16 MR. MEESE: I know it's a separate  
17 company that is in charge of those temporary  
18 facilities. I don't know if that's T-Mobile or this  
19 other company.

20 MR. SHAW: It's unsightly.

21 MR. MEESE: I noticed that, that the  
22 fence is caved in on one spot.

23 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay. That's the  
24 only thing I wanted to bring to your attention.  
25 That's all I have.

1                   Bob, do you have anything else?

2                   MR. MICHAELS: No. I think he covered  
3 all the issues in my report.

4                   CHAIRMAN VIVONA: The only condition  
5 we are looking at is a construction detail that  
6 safeguards our parking lot.

7                   MR. RUSCHKE: Correct. It's part of  
8 the construction documents to indicate that  
9 construction equipment should be kept off of the  
10 paved area. It is certainly an attractive place for  
11 them to stage equipment on while they are working.

12                   Stay off of that pavement because it  
13 could be damaged.

14                   CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Even in June, high  
15 school is still going on, so the parking lot is  
16 always used and the pool is opened up so the parking  
17 lot is always used. We want to keep it cleared.

18                   MR. RUSCHKE: We haven't received  
19 revised plans showing the gravel.

20                   MR. SHAW: Right. They have to be  
21 submitted to show compliance with all the items  
22 specified here.

23                   MR. MEESE: Do you want revised or  
24 just on the construction drawings?

25                   MR. RUSCHKE: I guess they usually are

1 one in the same.

2 MR. MEESE: In this case, because of  
3 the nature of the application, they are very  
4 similar.

5 MR. RUSCHKE: Yes. Usually, it's done  
6 on the construction drawings. It's just upgraded to  
7 reflect the conditions in the resolution.

8 MR. MEESE: Okay.

9 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay.

10 Anybody from the public have anything  
11 to add or ask?

12 (No response)

13 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay.

14 MR. WILLIAMS: The fact that you are  
15 not going to have an air conditioner and there is  
16 not a lot of noise, that was one of the things that  
17 made this attractive. Inherently, is that the way  
18 it's going to be, that they can't violate that even  
19 if they decide later on that they want to add air  
20 conditioning and stuff like that?

21 MR. SHAW: Once the facilities are  
22 there, there is now new provisions governing  
23 collocation which might limit the amount of review  
24 that this Board would give to what changes were  
25 involved in that.

1 MR. RUSCHKE: Regardless, they do have  
2 to comply with the state requirement for noise so  
3 that can always be checked at the property line.

4 MR. WILLIAMS: The noise is an issue  
5 with a lot of these installations.

6 MR. MEESE: That's with a shelter as  
7 opposed to these outdoor cabinets.

8 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Mr. Pazden, do  
9 different technologies create different noise  
10 levels? Because we have another case with AT&T and  
11 their noise levels were very high, still within  
12 limits, but borderline limits. Is your equipment  
13 different that it's quieter?

14 MR. PAZDEN: It's typically -- for  
15 outdoor equipment cabinets, they are just cabinets  
16 that have a small, like, cooling fan to do their  
17 climate control. Mr. Meese mentioned, if it's  
18 shelters, you do have a three-ton HVAC unit that  
19 would be louder but, similarly, AT&T, if they have a  
20 line of outdoor cabinets, it should typically not be  
21 a very loud installation.

22 MR. SHAW: Just as a background  
23 question, one of the things that I believe you  
24 indicated was different for your application from  
25 AT&T is that the AT&T sites have a generator on site

1 which is powered by batteries and you have no  
2 generator at this site?

3 MR. PAZDEN: Correct.

4 MR. SHAW: For our education, do you  
5 know why AT&T has a generator and you don't?

6 MR. PAZDEN: Well, PSE&G will not  
7 allow generators for any of the carriers on the  
8 right of ways. So if it's a standalone site, a  
9 separate monopole or tower or something like that,  
10 it's -- often, carriers do install back-up  
11 generators on sites like that. Typically, what will  
12 happen with T-Mobile, they have a generator  
13 receptacle. If there was ever an issue, they could  
14 pull up a generator on a trailer to extend the run  
15 time because that's the idea, that all the carriers  
16 want to provide the emergency back-up power. In  
17 this case, specifically, the PSE&G sites, that  
18 option is off the table.

19 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Not even a  
20 receptacle?

21 MR. PAZDEN: Correct. They wouldn't  
22 allow a temporary generator.

23 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay.

24 Does someone want to make a motion on  
25 this?

1 MR. WILLIAMS: I move that we approve  
2 the variances with the one condition that you  
3 mentioned about the construction vehicles.

4 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Okay. Can I have a  
5 second?

6 MR. STYPLE: Second.

7 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Chairman Vivona?

8 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Yes.

9 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Mr. Weston?

10 MR. WESTON: Yes.

11 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Mr. Williams?

12 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

13 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Ms. Romano?

14 MS. ROMANO: Yes.

15 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Mr. Styple?

16 MR. STYPLE: Yes.

17 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Mr. Borsinger?

18 MR. BORSINGER: Yes.

19 MS. TSIMBOUKIS: Mr. Newman?

20 MR. NEWMAN: Yes.

21 MR. MEESE: Thank you very much.

22 MR. SHAW: The next meeting, we will  
23 have the...

24 MR. MEESE: Can we do it in advance?

25 MR. SHAW: That's not our decision.

1 They will review it sometimes after the Board has  
2 taken action but before the resolution has been  
3 filed. It's a question for the construction  
4 official.

5 MR. MEESE: I know that PSE&G will put  
6 us on the list to schedule an outage for us until we  
7 have a permit in hand.

8 MR. RUSCHKE: I'll coordinate that  
9 with Greg.

10 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: And you will look  
11 into the maintenance of the temporary tower?

12 MR. MEESE: It's on my list.

13 CHAIRMAN VIVONA: Very good. Thank  
14 you very much.

15 (The hearing concluded at 8:45 p.m.)  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I, ALISON GULINO, a Certified Court Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, do hereby state that the foregoing is a true and accurate verbatim transcript of my stenographic notes of the within proceedings, to the best of my ability.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ALISON GULINO, CCR, RPR  
NOTARY PUBLIC No. 2415679  
LICENSE No. 30X100235500